



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/364,727	07/30/1999	STEPHEN L. SCARINGELLA	E0295/7126WR	9805
7:	590 07/25/2003			
WILLIAM R MCCLELLAN WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			VO, TI	VO, TIM T
BOSTON, MA	. 02210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	&
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	<b>.</b>		BLA				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/364,727	SCARINGELLA ET AL.					
nevicely neven	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tim T. Vo	2189					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re-	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate exthe final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	oelow);	,					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	ms.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							

Tim T. Vo Examiner Art Unit: 2189

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

- Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/364,727

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Dorfman and Don combined would have been obvious to modify Dorfman's invention to include product data stored in the memory as cited in the final office action .